

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,682	10/04/2000	Gilles H. Tapolsky	359872000810	3633
25226 75	590 08/19/2003			
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER	
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			WEBMAN, EI	DWARD J
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	1/-
		-	DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	109/14/12 TADAL 161
Office Action Summary	Everyings Group Art Unit
	WEBMAN 1617
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for Reply	2
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status /	. / 3
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28/03
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) 19-24, 34-	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s) 34, 34-	is/are pending in the application. 34, 41, 42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
19-24	35, 40 is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)—————	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	
	is □ approved □ disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	is approved disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected.	is approved disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	is approved disapproved.
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the company of the company	is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ne priority documents have been
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for foreign pri	is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ne priority documents have been r) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for fo	is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ne priority documents have been r) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a claim for fo	is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ne priority documents have been r)
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved. ed to by the Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ne priority documents have been r) mational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 15

Application/Control Number: 09/684,682

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19-24, 35, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 95/05416 ('416).

WO '416 teaches treatment of a mucosal surface a composition comprising an adhesive layer and other layers, which are water-soluble and contain an active (abstract).

Hydroxpropyl cellulose in combination with sodium-CMC is disclosed (page 12 line 29 page 13 line 1). Thicknesses are specified (page 13 lines 11-12). Hydroxypropyl cellulose is disclosed in a second layer (page 15 lines 7-16). An overlapping adhesive layer is specified (page 30, lines 16-21). Anesthetics are specified (page 9, line 21).

Applicants argue that '416 requires plasticizers where the locus of use is the oral cavity for HPC, citing page 21 lines 1-7 whereas applicants' invention does not require a plasticizer. Applicants point to example 37 which has no plasticizer as applicants define such compounds on page 15 lines 9-19. However, applicants' example 37 includes peppermint flavor. WO '416 teaches that such flavorings have a plasticizing effect even though they are not customarily used as such. (see page 21 lines 11-17, immediately below the passage cited by applicants).

Application/Control Number: 09/684,682

Art Unit: 1617

Taus, '416, using flavorings rather than plasticizers, achieves the claimed flexibility.

The examiner notes that nonelected claims 41, 42 depend from a cancelled claim.

No claims allowed.

The examiner notes that "withdrawn from consideration" does not mean "cancelled".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-3592 for regular communications and 305-1235 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd July 29, 2003

